arXiv:1608.03189v1 [math.MG] 10 Aug 2016

A generalisation of Sylvester's problem to higher dimensions

Simeon Ball and Joaquim Monserrat

Abstract

In this article we consider S to be a set of points in d-space with the property that any d points of S span a hyperplane and not all the points of S are contained in a hyperplane. The aim of this article is to introduce the function $e_d(n)$, which denotes the minimal number of hyperplanes meeting S in precisely d points, minimising over all such sets of points S with $|S| = n$.

1. *Introduction*

In 1893 Sylvester [**15**] asked if it is possible to have a finite set of points S in the plane, not all contained in a line, with the property that no line contains precisely two points of S. Sylvester's problem was solved by Gallai [**9**] in 1944, who proved that there is always a line containing precisely two points of S. Since Gallai's proof, a number of articles ([**4**], [**6**], [**7**], [**8**], [**10**], [**11**], [**13**] for example) have been published that aim to determine the minimum number of lines $e_2(n)$ meeting S in exactly two points, minimising over all sets of points S with $|S| = n$, not all collinear.

A straightforward generalisation of Sylvester's problem to higher dimensions runs into difficulties. Motzkin [**14**] observed that a finite set of points S in 3-space, distributed on two skew lines, has the property that no plane contains precisely three points of S. The survey article by Borwein and Moser [**2**], and subsequently the book of problems by Brass, Moser and Pach [**3**], have a section on generalization of Sylvester's problem to higher dimensional spaces. The generalisation they consider is to minimise the number of hyperplanes π, with the property that all but one point of $\pi \cap S$ are contained in a hyperplane of π, again minimising over all sets of points S with $|S| = n$.

In this note an alternative generalisation to higher dimensional spaces is proposed. Let S be a set of points in d-space with the property that any d points of S span a hyperplane and not all the points of S are contained in a hyperplane. Let $e_d(n)$ denote the minimal number of hyperplanes meeting S in precisely d points, minimising over all such sets of points S with

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* 51M04, 52C35.

The first author acknowledges the support of the project MTM2014-54745-P of the Spanish *Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad.*

6 August 2016.



Figure 1: J. J. Sylvester

$|S| = n$. Note that for $d = 2$ this coincides with the definition above since we automatically rule out double points in the planar case.

For any set S of points in d-space we say that a hyperplane π is an *ordinary hyperplane* if $|\pi \cap S| = d$.

Throughout the article we shall consider S to be a subset of points of $\mathrm{PG}(d, \mathbb{R})$, the d-dimensional projective space over $\mathbb{R}$. This is no clearly no restriction if S contains no points on the hyperplane at infinity π_∞. If S does contain points on π_∞, we can apply a projective transformation which maps a hyperplane containing no points of S to the hyperplane at infinity. In this way we obtain a set of points S' which is contained in the affine part of the d-space, and with the same intersection properties with respect to hyperplanes as the original set S. Hence, it is also no restriction if S does contain points of π_∞. There are many texts providing background on projective spaces, see [**5**] for example.

We will use the notation

$$\langle (x_0, \ldots, x_d) \rangle$$

to denote a point of $\mathrm{PG}(d, \mathbb{R})$, where $(x_0, \ldots, x_d)$ is a non-zero vector of $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$.

2. *Preliminary results*

LEMMA 2.1. *Let S be a set of n points of $PG(d, \mathbb{R})$ with the property that every d points of S span a hyperplane and S is not contained in a hyperplane. For $x \in S$, denote by S_x the set of $n-1$ points of $PG(d-1, \mathbb{R})$ obtained from S by projecting from x. Then there is a point*

$x \in S$ for which

$$dN \geqslant nN_x,$$

where N is the number of ordinary hyperplanes spanned by S and N_x is the number of ordinary hyperplanes spanned by S_x.

Proof. Counting in two ways the pairs (x, π) where $x \in S$ and π is an ordinary hyperplane of $\mathrm{PG}(d, \mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\sum_{x \in S} N_x = dN.$$

The lemma follows from the pigeon-hole principle. □

For example, in Figure 2, the eight point cube in $\mathrm{PG}(3, \mathbb{R})$, which spans eight ordinary planes, is projected onto the seven point "broken" Fano plane in $\mathrm{PG}(2, \mathbb{R})$ which spans three ordinary lines. Thus, in this particular case, we have equality in the inequality of Lemma 2.1.



Figure 2: The projection of a cube onto a "broken" Fano plane.

LEMMA 2.2. *For $d \geqslant 3$,*

$$e_d(n) \geqslant \frac{n}{d} e_{d-1}(n-1).$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 2.1. □

LEMMA 2.3. *For $d \geqslant 3$,*

$$e_d(n) \geqslant \left\lceil \frac{n}{d} \left\lceil \frac{n-1}{d-1} \left\lceil \frac{n-2}{d-2} \cdots \left\lceil \frac{(n-d+3)}{3} e_2(n-d+2) \right\rceil \cdots \right\rceil \right\rceil \right\rceil.$$

Proof. This follows by repeated application of Lemma 2.2 and the fact that $e_d(n)$ is an integer. □

Csima and Sawyer [**7**] proved that if $n \neq 7$ then $e_2(n) \geqslant 6n/13$, so we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.4. *For $n \neq d+5$,*

$$e_d(n) \geqslant \left\lceil \frac{n}{d} \left\lceil \frac{n-1}{d-1} \left\lceil \frac{n-2}{d-2} \cdots \left\lceil \frac{(n-d+3)}{3} \left\lceil \frac{6(n-d+2)}{13} \right\rceil \right\rceil \cdots \right\rceil \right\rceil \right\rceil.$$

One of the main results of this article will be the following theorem which concerns the asymptotic behaviour of $e_d(n)$. We shall prove this theorem after we have deduced some structural theorem for sets of n points which span few ordinary hyperplanes.

THEOREM 2.5. *For n sufficiently large,*

$$e_2(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}n, \text{ if } n \text{ is even} \\ \frac{3}{4}n - \frac{3}{4}, \text{ if } n \text{ is } 1 \bmod 4 \\ \frac{3}{4}n - \frac{9}{4}, \text{ if } n \text{ is } 3 \bmod 4 \end{cases}$$

$$e_3(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}n^2 - n, \text{ if } n \text{ is } 0 \bmod 4 \\ \frac{3}{8}n^2 - n + \frac{5}{8}, \text{ if } n \text{ is } 1 \bmod 4 \\ \frac{1}{4}n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n, \text{ if } n \text{ is } 2 \bmod 4 \\ \frac{3}{8}n^2 - \frac{3}{2}n + \frac{17}{8}, \text{ if } n \text{ is } 3 \bmod 4 \end{cases}$$

and there is a universal constant c for which

$$\frac{3}{d!}n^{d-1} - \frac{c}{d!}n^{d-2} \leqslant e_d(n) \leqslant \binom{n-1}{d-1}, \text{ if } d \geqslant 4.$$

Let S be a set of n points of $\mathrm{PG}(d, \mathbb{R})$ and let τ_i denote the number of hyperplanes containing i points of S. We will call a hyperplane containing i points of S, an *i-secant* hyperplane. Therefore, an ordinary hyperplane spanned by S is a d-secant hyperplane and τ_d is the number of ordinary hyperplanes spanned by S.

The following is a simple counting lemma which we shall need.

LEMMA 2.6. *Let S be a set of n points of $PG(d,\mathbb{R})$ with the property that every d points of S span a hyperplane and S is not contained in a hyperplane. Then*

$$\sum_{i=d}^{n-1} \binom{i}{d} \tau_i = \binom{n}{d}.$$

Proof. By counting $(d+1)$-tuples $(x_1,\ldots,x_d,\pi)$, where $x_1,\ldots,x_d \in S$ and π is the hyperplane spanned by $x_1,\ldots,x_d$, in two ways. □

3. *Examples*

In the examples in this section we suppose that n has at least 8 points.

Let

$$X_{2m} = \{\langle(\cos(2\pi j/m), \sin(2\pi j/m), 1)\rangle \mid j = 0,\ldots,m-1\}$$

$$\cup\{\langle(-\sin(\pi j/m), \cos(\pi j/m), 0)\rangle \mid j = 0,\ldots,m-1\}.$$

In Figure 3, the set X_{12} is drawn in $\mathrm{AG}(2,\mathbb{R})$, the line at infinity having been moved to the affine part, which accounts for the distortion of the regular polygon to six points on an ellipse.



Figure 3: The set X_{12} spanning six ordinary lines.

The following examples in $\mathrm{PG}(2,\mathbb{R})$ were described by Böröcsky, as cited in [**6**]. The number of ordinary lines can be calculated using the sum of the angle formulas for the sine and co-sine functions, see [**10**]. In particular, one uses the fact that the line joining

$$\langle(\cos(2\pi i/m), \sin(2\pi i/m), 1)\rangle \text{ and } \langle(\cos(2\pi j/m), \sin(2\pi j/m), 1)\rangle$$

passes through the point

$$\langle(-\sin(\pi(i+j)/m), \cos(\pi(i+j)/m), 0)\rangle.$$



Figure 4: The sets P_{10} and P_{16} spanning 20 and 48 ordinary planes respectively.

LEMMA 3.1. (Regular polygon examples) *If n is even then the set $S = X_n$ spans $\frac{1}{2}n$ ordinary lines. If $n = 1$ mod 4 then the set X_{n-1} together with the point $(0,0,1)$ spans $\frac{3}{4}n - \frac{3}{4}$ ordinary lines. If $n = 3$ mod 4 then the set X_{n+1} with the point $(0,1,0)$ removed, spans $\frac{3}{4}n - \frac{9}{4}$ ordinary lines.*

Let

$$P_{2m} = \{\langle(\cos(2\pi j/m), \sin(2\pi j/m), 1, 0)\rangle \mid j = 0, \ldots, m-1\}$$

$$\cup\{\langle(\cos(2\pi j/m), \sin(2\pi j/m), 0, 1) \mid j = 0, \ldots, m-1\}.$$

The following examples in $\mathrm{PG}(3, \mathbb{R})$ were described by the first author in [**1**]. The number of ordinary planes can be calculated again using the sum of the angle formulas for the sine and co-sine functions, see [**1**].

LEMMA 3.2. (The prism examples) *If $n = 0$ mod 4 then the set $S = P_n$ spans $\frac{1}{4}n^2 - n$ ordinary planes. If $n = 2$ mod 4 then the set P_n spans $\frac{1}{4}n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n$ ordinary planes. If $n = 1$ mod 4 then the set $S = P_n$ with a point removed spans $\frac{3}{8}n^2 - n + \frac{5}{8}$ ordinary planes. If $n = 3$ mod 4 then the set P_n with a point removed spans $\frac{3}{8}n^2 - \frac{3}{2}n + \frac{17}{8}$ ordinary planes.*

Proof. The plane

$$\pi = \langle(\cos(2\pi i/m), \sin(2\pi i/m), 1, 0), (\cos(2\pi j/m), \sin(2\pi j/m), 1, 0),$$

$$(\cos(2\pi k/m), \sin(2\pi k/m), 0, 1)\rangle$$

contains the point $\langle(\cos(2\pi\ell/m), \sin(2\pi\ell/m), 0, 1)\rangle$ if and only if there is an ℓ such that $i + j = k + \ell$. Therefore, π is an ordinary plane spanned by P_{2m} if k satisfies $i + j = 2k \bmod m$.

If m is odd then $i + j = 2k \bmod m$ has $\frac{1}{2}m(m-1)$ solutions where $i \neq j$. Therefore, if $n = 2 \bmod 4$ then a prism with n points spans $\frac{1}{4}n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n$ ordinary planes. If m is even then $i + j = 2k \bmod m$ has $\frac{1}{2}m^2 - m$ solutions where $i \neq j$. Therefore, if $n = 0 \bmod 4$ then a prism with n points spans $\frac{1}{4}n^2 - n$ ordinary planes.

By symmetry, every point of S_n is incident with the same number of ordinary planes and 4-secant planes. Therefore, by resolving the equation in Lemma 2.6, substituting τ_3 and $\tau_{n/2} = 2$, we can deduce τ_4 and from that the precise number of 3-secant planes and 4-secant planes incident with a point of S.

□

The following example is the best known example for $d \geqslant 4$.

LEMMA 3.3. (The trivial example, $n \geqslant d + 2$) *Let S' be a set of $n - 1$ points in a hyperplane π with the property that every $d - 1$ points of S' span a hyperplane of π. Let x be a point not in π and let $S = S' \cup \{x\}$. Then S spans precisely $\binom{n-1}{d-1}$ ordinary hyperplanes. For example, we could take*

$$S = \{\langle(1, 0, \ldots, 0)\rangle\} \cup \{\langle(0, 1, t, t^2, \ldots, t^d)\rangle \mid t \in T\},$$

where T is a subset of $\mathbb{R}$ of size $n - 1$.

4. *Structural theorems*

In [**10**, Theorem 2.4] Green and Tao prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.1. *There is a constant c such that for n sufficiently large, a set of n points in $PG(2, \mathbb{R})$, spanning less than $n - c$ ordinary lines, is projectively equivalent to one of the regular polygon examples from Lemma 3.1.*

The following theorem for three-dimensional space is from [**1**]. The same conclusion but with a slightly weaker bound of $\frac{1}{3}n^2 - cn$ ordinary planes was obtained in [**12**] for n even.

THEOREM 4.2. *There is a constant c such that for n sufficiently large, a set of n points in $PG(3, \mathbb{R})$, spanning less than $\frac{1}{2}n^2 - cn$ ordinary planes, is projectively equivalent to either*

a prism, a skew-prism, a prism with a point deleted, a skew prism with a point deleted, or contains four collinear points.

The previous theorem has the following corollary.

THEOREM 4.3. *There is a constant c such that for n sufficiently large, a set S of n points in $PG(d,\mathbb{R})$, $d \geqslant 4$, spanning less than $\frac{3}{d!}(n^{d-1} - cn^{d-2})$ ordinary hyperplanes, contains $d+1$ points that do not span a hyperplane.*

Proof. If there are d points of S that do not span a hyperplane, then they span a smaller dimensional subspace. If this subspace contains a further point of S then S contains $d+1$ points that do not span a hyperplane, which is what we want to prove. If it doesn't contain a further point of S then S spans an infinite number of ordinary hyperplanes. Thus, we can assume that every subset of d points of S spans a hyperplane and will obtain a contradiction.

We consider the case $d = 4$ first.

Let T be the subset of S which consists of points which project to a set of $n-1$ points in $\mathrm{PG}(3,\mathbb{R})$ spanning less than $\frac{1}{2}n^2 - c'n$ ordinary planes, for some constant c'.

By Theorem 4.2, for any $x \in T$, the projection S_x, of S from x, is contained in two planes. Therefore, there are two hyperplanes π and π' containing x and all the points of S. Since there are $\frac{1}{2}(n-1)$ points of S on each of these hyperplanes, these hyperplanes do not depend on x. Therefore $T \subseteq \pi \cap \pi' \cap S$, and since $|\pi \cap \pi' \cap S| \leqslant 3$, by the hypothesis on S, we have that $|T| \leqslant 3$. Hence, S spans at least $\frac{1}{4}(n-3)(\frac{1}{2}n^2 - c'n)$ ordinary hyperplanes so choosing c large enough, we are done.

The theorem follows from Lemma 2.1 and the pigeon hole principle. □

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 2.5.

Proof. (of Theorem 2.5.)

The asymptotic value of $e_2(n)$ follows from Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 4.1.

The asymptotic value of $e_3(n)$ follows from Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 4.2.

The asymptotic bounds on $e_d(n)$, $d \geqslant 4$ follow from Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 4.3.

□

To complete this section we prove a specific structural theorem which we will require in the proof of Theorem 5.9.

THEOREM 4.4. *A set of eight points in $PG(2,\mathbb{R})$ spanning four ordinary lines is projectively equivalent to Example 3.1.*

Proof. The proof is divided in two parts, in the first part we prove that S must have a 4-secant, and in the second part we prove that S, up to a projective transformation, is the regular polygon example from Lemma 3.1.

Suppose that $\tau_4 = 0$. Since $\tau_2 = 4$, Lemma 2.6 gives

$$24 = 3\tau_3 + 10\tau_5 + 15\tau_6 + 21\tau_7.$$

We have that $\tau_5 = \tau_6 = \tau_7 = 0$, because if $\tau_7 = 1$, then $\tau_3 = 1$, but a configuration with one 7-secant and one 3-secant has at least 9 points. If $\tau_6 = 1$, then $\tau_3 = 3$, but a configuration with one 6-secant and three 3-secants has at least 10 points. If $\tau_5 \geqslant 1$, then the diophantine equation has no solution. So, $\tau_5 = \tau_6 = \tau_7 = 0$, and the equation implies $\tau_3 = 8$.

Any point of S is incident with at most three 3-secants, since if not, then S would have at least nine points.

Since there are eight 3-secants and eight points, and each point is incident with at most three 3-secants, each point is incident with exactly three 3-secants. There are four 2-secants, so each point of S is incident with one 2-secant.

Let us suppose that the points of S are labelled x_1 to x_8 and that $\{x_{2j-1}, x_{2j}\}$ is a 2-secant, for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Then, up to relabelling, there are four possible distrubtions for the pencils of 3-secants incident with the point x_1 and the point x_2, see Figure 5.

The configuration of Figure 5 (b) is impossible, because the line pencil of x_6 would contain $\{x_3, x_6, x_7\}$, since $\{x_5, x_6\}$ is a 2-secant, so there would be a 4-secant, $\{x_2, x_3, x_6, x_7\}$. The configuration of Figure 5 (c) is also impossible, since there would be a 4-secant, $\{x_1, x_4, x_6, x_7\}$. And the configuration of Figure 5 (d), because the line pencil of x_6 would be $\{x_5, x_6\}$, $\{x_1, x_6, x_8\}$, $\{x_2, x_6, x_7\}$, and $\{x_3, x_4, x_6\}$, contradicting the fact that $\{x_3, x_4\}$ is a 2-secant. So the only possible configuration is the one in Figure 5 (a), and has the lines incident with $\{x_1, x_2\}$, $\{x_3, x_4\}$, $\{x_5, x_6\}$, $\{x_7, x_8\}$, $\{x_1, x_3, x_5\}$, $\{x_1, x_8, x_6\}$, $\{x_1, x_7, x_4\}$, $\{x_2, x_3, x_8\}$, $\{x_2, x_5, x_7\}$, $\{x_2, x_6, x_4\}$, $\{x_3, x_6, x_7\}$ and $\{x_4, x_5, x_8\}$.

Now, we have to see that this configuration cannot be embedded in the plane. By the fundamental theorem of projective geometry, there is a projectivity that transforms the configuration of Figure 5 to the points with coordinates

$$x_1 = (0, 0, 1),\ x_2 = (0, 1, 0),\ x_3 = (1, 0, 0),\ x_4 = (1, 1, 1).$$



Figure 5: The possible 3-secant distributions for lines incident with x_1 and x_2.

Thus, by the relations of incidence we deduce that the other points have coordinates

$$x_5 = (a, a, b),\ x_6 = (b - a, b, 0),\ x_7 = (a, 0, b),\ x_8 = (b - a, b, b - a),$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. The points x_4, x_5 and x_8 are collinear, so the determinant of these three points should be equal to zero, $b^2 - ab + a^2 = 0$. But this equation doesn't have a solution with $a, b \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

Therefore, S must have a 4-secant, which by applying a suitable transformation we can assume is the line at infinity. The four affine points determine six (possibly repeated) directions and at least four distinct directions. A point of S on the line at infinity is incident with 4, 2 or 0 ordinary lines depending on whether it corresponds to direction determined by the affine points of S, zero, once or twice, respectively. Since S spans only four ordinary lines, we have that the affine points of S determine four distinct directions and the four affine points are affinely equivalent to the vertices of a square, so S is projectively equivalent to the regular polygon example of Lemma 3.1. □

5. *The value of $e_d(n)$ for small d and n*

LEMMA 5.1. *Let S be a set of points of $\mathrm{PG}(d,\mathbb{R})$ with the property that every d-subset of S spans a hyperplane. Let T be a $(d+2)$-subset of S spanning the whole space. There is at most one $(d+1)$-subset of T which spans a hyperplane (the others span the whole space).*

Proof. Suppose Q_1 and Q_2 are two $(d+1)$-subsets of T which span distinct hyperplanes. Then $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ is a d-subset of S. Since Q_1 and Q_2 span distinct hyperplanes $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ does not span a hyperplane, contradicting the hypothesis on S. □

Recall that for a set of points S, we defined τ_i to be the number of hyperplanes containing i points of S.

LEMMA 5.2.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-d-1} (n-d-i)\binom{d+i}{i-1}\tau_{d+i} \leqslant \binom{n}{d+2}.$$

Proof. Suppose π is a $(d+i)$-secant, for some $i \geqslant 1$. There are $\binom{d+i}{d+1}$ subsets of $\pi \cap S$ of size $d+1$. For each of these $(d+1)$-subsets, if we add a point of $S \setminus \pi$ then, by Lemma 5.1, we obtain a distinct $(d+2)$-subset of S spanning the whole space. □

The following theorem is useful only for $n \leqslant 2d$.

THEOREM 5.3.

$$e_d(n) \geqslant \binom{n}{d} - \frac{d+1}{d+2}\binom{n}{d+1}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.6,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-d-1} \binom{i+d}{i}\tau_{i+d} = \binom{n}{d}.$$

So,

$$\tau_d + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d-1} \frac{d+1}{i}\binom{i+d}{i-1}\tau_{i+d} = \binom{n}{d}.$$

Since $(n-d-i)/(n-d-1) \geqslant 1/i$,

$$\tau_d + \frac{d+1}{n-d-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-d-1} (n-d-i)\binom{i+d}{i-1}\tau_{i+d} \geqslant \binom{n}{d}.$$

Now, use Lemma 5.2. □

THEOREM 5.4.

$$e_d(d+2) = \binom{d+1}{2}.$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 5.3. □

THEOREM 5.5. *If d is odd then*

$$e_d(d+3) = \tfrac{1}{6}(d+3)(d+1)(d-1).$$

Proof. By Theorem 5.3, $e_d(d+3) \geqslant \frac{1}{6}(d+3)(d+1)(d-1)$.

We will construct a set S of $d+3$ points with $\frac{1}{6}(d+3)(d+1)(d-1)$ hyperplanes containing precisely d points of S.

Suppose $u_1, \ldots, u_{d+1}$ are $d+1$ points of $\mathrm{PG}(d, \mathbb{R})$ which span $\mathrm{PG}(d, \mathbb{R})$.

Let

$$S = \{u_1, \ldots, u_{d+1}, u, v\},$$

where

$$u = u_1 + \cdots + u_d,$$

$$v = \alpha_1(u_1 + u_2) + \cdots + \alpha_{(d-1)/2}(u_{d-2} + u_{d-1}) + u_{d+1}$$

and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{(d-1)/2}$ are distinct elements of $\mathbb{R}$.

The hyperplanes $\langle u, u_1, \ldots, u_d\rangle$ and $\langle v, u_1, \ldots, u_{d-1}, u_{d+1}\rangle$ contain $d+1$ points of S. Furthermore,

$$v - \alpha_1 u = (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)(u_3 + u_4) + \cdots + (\alpha_{(d-1)/2} - \alpha_1)(u_{d-2} + u_{d-1}) + u_{d+1},$$

so $\langle u, v, u_3, \ldots, u_{d+1}\rangle$ is also a hyperplane containing $d+1$ points of S. Similarly, by considering $v - \alpha_i u$ for $i = 2, \ldots, (d-1)/2$, we find a further $(d-3)/2$ hyperplanes containing $d+1$ points of S. Hence

$$\tau_{d+1} \geqslant (d+3)/2,$$

and then Lemma 2.6 gives

$$\tau_d \leqslant \tfrac{1}{6}(d+3)(d+1)(d-1).$$

Hence, $\tau_d = \frac{1}{6}(d+3)(d+1)(d-1)$. □

THEOREM 5.6. *If d is even then*

$$e_d(d+3) = \binom{d+2}{3}.$$

Proof. Lemma 5.2 implies

$$2\tau_{d+1} + (d+2)\tau_{d+2} \leqslant d+3.$$

If $\tau_{d+2} = 1$ then $\tau_{d+1} = 0$ and Lemma 2.6 implies

$$\tau_d = \binom{d+2}{3}.$$

If $\tau_{d+2} = 0$ then since d is even $\tau_{d+1} \leqslant (d+2)/2$. Lemma 2.6 implies

$$\tau_d = \binom{d+3}{3} - (d+1)\tau_{d+1}.$$

Combining this with the above inequality gives

$$\tau_d \geqslant \binom{d+2}{3}.$$

By Lemma 3.3,

$$e_d(d+3) \leqslant \binom{d+2}{3}.$$

□

In the following table we list the values (or possible rangle of values) of $e_d(n)$, for small n and d. The columns are indexed by d and the rows by n. The column corresponding to $d = 2$ comes from [**2**]. Any other entry which does not follow directly from Lemma 2.2, Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.3, Theorem 5.4– 5.6 is justified below.

	2	3	4	5	6	7
4	3	.	.	.	.	.
5	4	6	.	.	.	.
6	3	8	10	.	.	.
7	3	11	20	15	.	.
8	4	8	25...35	32	21	.
9	6	14..22	18...56	54...70	56	28
10	5	20	35...84	36...126	90...126	80
11	6	19...31	55...120	77...210	.	.
12	6	24	57...165	132...330	.	.
13	6	26...51	78...220	149...495	.	.

The value of $e_d(n)$ for small d and n.

THEOREM 5.7.

$$e_3(7) = 11.$$

Proof. Consider four points of S that span a plane π. The other three points of S span a plane π', which intersects π in a line ℓ. We consider the three possibilities for $\ell \cap S$ separately.

If $\ell \cap S = \emptyset$ then a hyperplane different from π and containing at least four points of S must contain two points $x, y \in S \cap \pi$ and two points $x', y' \in S \cap \pi'$ (so $\tau_5 = \tau_6 = 0$). Let T be the set of three points of ℓ which is the intersection of a line joining x' and y' (both points of $S \cap \pi'$) and ℓ. The points of T are on at most five lines joining two points of $S \cap \pi$, since otherwise the plane π would contain a Fano plane. Thus, $\tau_4 \leqslant 5 + 1 = 6$, where the extra hyperplane containing four points of S is π itself. Lemma 2.6 now implies $\tau_3 \geqslant 11$.

If $\ell \cap S = \{z\}$ then a hyperplane different from π and π' and containing at least four points of $S \setminus \{z\}$ must contain two points $x, y \in S \cap \pi$ and two points $x', y' \in S \cap \pi'$ (so $\tau_5 = \tau_6 = 0$). This can be done in at most three ways. The point z is on both π and π', which contain four points of S, but cannot belong to any further planes with four points of S, since such a plane would contain either two points of $\pi \setminus \{z\}$ or two points of $\pi' \setminus \{z\}$ and must therefore be either π or π'. Thus, $\tau_4 \leqslant 5$ and Lemma 2.6 now implies $\tau_3 \geqslant 15$.

If $\ell \cap S = \{z, z'\}$ then either π or π' contains five points of S and the other contains four points of S. Without loss of generality we can assume π' contains five points of S. The points z and z' cannot belong to any further planes with more than four points of S, since such a plane would contain either two points of $\pi \setminus \{z, z'\}$ or two points of $\pi' \setminus \{z, z'\}$ and must therefore be either π or π'. The line joining the two points of $\pi \cap S \setminus \{z, z'\}$ can meet at most one line joining two points of $\pi' \cap S \setminus \{z, z'\}$ since $\pi' \cap S \setminus \{z, z'\}$ contains only three points. Therefore $\tau_4 \leqslant 1 + 1 = 2$. Lemma 2.6 now implies $\tau_3 \geqslant 35 - 8 - 10 = 17$.

It only remains to provide an example. The cube with a vertex deleted has $\tau_4 = 6$ and $\tau_5 = \tau_6 = 0$, so Lemma 2.6 implies $\tau_3 = 11$. Therefore, $e_3(7) = 11$. □

THEOREM 5.8.

$$e_4(8) \geqslant 25.$$

Proof. Lemma 5.2 implies

$$3\tau_5 + 12\tau_6 + 21\tau_7 \leqslant 28$$

and Lemma 2.6 implies

$$\tau_4 + 5\tau_5 + 15\tau_6 + 35\tau_7 = 70.$$

Hence

$$3\tau_4 \geqslant 70 + 15\tau_6.$$

and so $\tau_4 \geqslant 24$. If $\tau_4 = 24$ then $\tau_6 = 0$ and so Lemma 2.6 implies $\tau_5 \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Hence $\tau_4 \geqslant 25$. □

In the same way one can show $e_5(9) \geqslant 54$.

THEOREM 5.9.

$$e_3(9) \geqslant 14.$$

Proof. We split the proof up depending on the number of 5-secant planes.

Let S be a set of 9 points in $\mathrm{PG}(3,\mathbb{R})$ with the property that any three points of S span a plane.

Suppose $\tau_5 \geqslant 2$.

Let π and π' be two 5-secant planes and define $\ell = \pi \cap \pi'$.

Since $|S| = 9$ there is either one or two points of S incident with ℓ.

If there is exactly one point $x \in S \cap \ell$ then x projects S onto a set of 8 points in the plane such that the points are divided into two sets of four collinear points. Hence, x is incident with 16 ordinary planes and so S spans at least $(16 + 8 \times 4)/3 = 16$ ordinary planes, since $e_2(8) = 4$.

Suppose there are two points x and $y \in S \cap \ell$. Each of these points projects S onto a set of 8 points, seven of which are contained in the union of two lines. The six points which are not the intersection of these lines span nine other lines of which at least six must be ordinary lines. Furthermore the projected point which is not on the union of the two lines, together with the point which is the intersection of the two lines, spans an ordinary line. Hence, both x and y are incident with at least 7 ordinary planes. Therefore, S spans at least $((2 \times 7) + (7 \times 4))/3 = 14$ ordinary planes.

Suppose $\tau_5 = 1$. By Theorem 4.4, a point not incident with the 5-secant plane projects to a set of 8 points in the plane spanning at least 5 ordinary lines, so is incident with at least 5 ordinary planes.. Therefore, S spans at least $\lceil((4 \times 5) + (5 \times 4))/3\rceil = 14$ ordinary planes.

Suppose $\tau_5 = 0$. By Theorem 4.4, each point projects to a set of 8 points in the plane spanning at least 5 ordinary lines, so is incident with at least 5 ordinary planes. Therefore, S spans at least $(9 \times 5)/3 = 15$ ordinary planes.

□

6. *Conclusions and conjectures*

This article introduces a problem in the hope that it will gain some attention. It seems to us a very interesting and natural question to ask and appears rather difficult to answer. In this final section we make some conjectures about the value of $e_d(n)$. Firstly, we recall the Dirac-Motzkin conjecture.

CONJECTURE 1. For all n, $e_2(n) \geqslant \lfloor \frac{1}{2}n \rfloor$.

As we have seen, Green and Tao [**10**] proved Conjecture 1 for $n \geqslant n_0$, where n_0 is large. Indeed, they prove more, that the exact values of $e_2(n)$, for $n \geqslant n_0$ are as in Theorem 2.5. It may be that the correct conjecture is that the exact values of $e_2(n)$ are as in Theorem 2.5, for all $n \geqslant n_0$, where n_0 is substantially smaller. Note that for $n = 13$, we have that $e_2(13) = 6$, whereas $\frac{3}{4}(n-1) = 9$, so we must take $n_0 \geqslant 14$.

We conjecture that the following is true.

CONJECTURE 2. For all n, $e_3(n) \geqslant \frac{1}{4}n^2 - n$.

Again, the asymptotic results from [**1**], imply that the exact values of $e_3(n)$, for $n \geqslant n_0$, where n_0 is large, are as in Theorem 2.5. It may be that the correct conjecture is that the exact values of $e_3(n)$ are as in Theorem 2.5, for all $n \geqslant n_0$, where n_0 is substantially smaller.

Finally, we conjecture the following.

CONJECTURE 3. Suppose $d \geqslant 4$. There is a constant c_d, such that for n sufficiently large, $e_d(n) \geqslant \frac{1}{(d-1)!}n^{d-1} - c_d n^{d-2}$.

It is even possible that $e_d(n) = \binom{n-1}{d-1}$, for $d \geqslant 4$ and n sufficiently large.

References

1. S. Ball, On sets defining few ordinary planes, `arXiv:1606.02138`, (2016).
2. P. B. Borwein and W. O. J. Moser, A survey of Sylvester's problem and its generalizations, *Aequationes Math.*, **40** (1990) 111–135.
3. P. Brass, W. Moser and J. Pach, *Research Problems in Discrete Geometry*, Springer, 2005.
4. N. G. de Bruijn and P. Erdős, On a combinatorial problem, *Neder. Akad. Wetensch Proc.*, **51** (1948) 1277–1279.
5. H. S. M. Coxeter, *Projective Geometry*, 2nd edition, Springer 2008.
6. D. W. Crowe and T. A. McKee, Sylvester's problem on collinear points. *Math. Mag.* **41** (1968) 30–34.
7. J. Csima and E. Sawyer, There exist $6n/13$ ordinary points, *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, **9** (1993) 187–202.
8. G. A. Dirac, Collinearity properties of sets of points. *Quart. J. Math.*, **2** 221–227 (1951).
9. T. Gallai, Solution to Problem 4065, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **51** (1944) 169–171.
10. B. Green and T. Tao, On sets defining few ordinary lines, *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, **50** (2013) 409–468.
11. L. M. Kelly and W. Moser, On the number of ordinary lines determined by n points. *Canad. J. Math.*, **10** 210–219 (1958).
12. J. Monserrat, *Generalization of Sylvester problem*, Bachelors Degree Thesis, Universitat Politècnica Catalunya, Barcelona, 2015.
13. W. Moser, *Abstract Groups and Geometrical Configurations*, Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of Toronto.
14. T. Motzkin, The lines and planes connecting the points of a finite set, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **70** (1951) 451–464.
15. J. J. Sylvester, Mathematical question 11851, *Educational Times*, **59** (1893) 98.

Simeon Ball and Joaquim Monserrat

Departament de Matemàtiques,

Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Jordi Girona 1-3, Mòdul C3, Campus Nord,

08034 Barcelona, Spain

simeon@ma4.upc.edu